Sri J. VENKATAPPA.—S- that, in all seasons whether there is demand or not, for the seeds prepared, the raiyat should be assured of a certain price which is not such as to hinder his profession. He should not be made to incur any loss. What arrangement has been made by the Department to come to his rescue when there is no demand at all for the seed ?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—That is a point which has to be considered very carefully.

Sri D. ABDUL RASHEED.—Are there any instances when the entire requirement of seed was prepared in a month and due to lack of indent, any part of it was destroyed, because the layings are perishable as the Hon'ble Minister was pleased to say.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—For the indents that were made, we had cocoons for the preparation of layings. The excess that were made were utilised for the preparation of cross-breed layings. It is not therefore that there were more indents.

DRAW WELLS SANCTIONED IN HIREKERUR TALUK.

*Q.—650. Sri G. B. SHNKAR RAO (Hirekerur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the names of villages in Hirekerur Taluk for which draw wells were sanctioned during the last four years up to March 1931 ;

(*b*) the number of them for which the construction is complete ;

(*c*) the names of villages still requiring draw wells ?

A.— Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Development and Local Self-Government).—

(*a*) *Vide* list given below.

(*b*) 18.

(*c*) 1. Ramtirth, 2. Yadyod, 3. Kirageri, 4. Paradkeri, 7. Badsangapur, 6. Chikmorab, 7. Malagi.

LIST

1.	Chikkabar	28.	Hirebudihal
2.	Yelavadhalli	29.	Devigihalli
3.	Hiremadapur	30.	Kunchur
4.	Varaha	31.	Parvatsidgeri Tanda
5.	Totaganti	32.	Ballur
6.	Guddadmadapur	33.	Banihatti Tanda
7.	Aladgiri	34.	Kudupli
8.	Makari	35.	Gundenhalli
9.	Chiknoti	36.	Mattur
10.	Satgihalli	37.	Nellikop
11.	Dummalli	38.	Ghelpuji
12.	Chikkenur	39.	Kunmur
13.	Halliyal	40.	Basrihalli
14.	Hoskatti	41.	Kenchaikop
15.	Veerapur	42.	Aladkatti
16.	Wadenpur	43.	Apinkop
17.	Nulgeri	44.	Betkerur
18.	Nagwand	45.	Arlikatti
19.	Ablur	46.	Divigihalli
20.	Hosur	47.	Javalli
21.	Makari	48.	Satenhalli
22.	Gnannalli Tanda	49.	Dasankop
23.	Hadrihalli	50.	Muddenkop
24.	Bavapur	51.	Sidenur
25.	Battikop	52.	Medur } Under L.D.
26.	Balambid	53.	Galginkatti } works progra-
27.	Channalli	54.	Khandibagur } mme.

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—In answer (*a*) appended in respect of Hirekerur taluk, there are six villagee which are not included. Out of 48 villages for which draw wells were sanctioned, only in 18 villages, these are completed. May I know the reason why in 30 villages, they have not been completed though a period of four years has elapsed?

†Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—All the wells have not been pending for the last four years. Some have been pending for three years, some for two years and some for one year. Because the question relates to wells for the last four years, the list is given.

Now the pendency of these wells and why they are not completed is due to various factors. One local contribution being a little too heavy according to Bombay Rules 50; second Contractors delay; (3) usually take two to three years to finish a village well.

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—In view of the reply now given that the village contribution is too heavy, will the Government think of providing more funds for such villages where the villagers feel incapable of giving local contribution?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—We are trying to bring about uniformity with regard to local contribution.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಜನವರಾಜೇಶ್ವರಿ.—ಎಷ್ಟು ಬಾವಿಗಳನ್ನು ಎರಡು ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಬಾಕಿ ಬಾವಿಗಳನ್ನು ಯಾವ ಸ್ಕೀಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಮಣ್ಯ.—ಆವುಗಳನ್ನು ರೂರಲ್ ವಾಟರ್ ಸಪ್ಲೈ ಸ್ಕೀಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

Sri H. V. KOUJALGI.—Out of the total number of these villages, in how many cases, local contribution has not been received?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—In all cases, we have now received local contribution. The delay was due to payment.

Sri G. B SHANKAR RAO.—Are there any instances where wells sanctioned in 1957-58 have not still been completed?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—All of them.

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—Are there any villages which have been provided with draw-wells under the social works programme?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I am not able to answer off hand.

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—May I know Sir, the number of wells sanctioned in 1960-61?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—We did not sanction any wells because in 1960-61, we had made over 30 per cent of the land revenue assignment to them. Therefore, it is the obligatory duty of the village panchayets to initiate such works.

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—Are the Government aware that in the month of July 1960, the Development Council finalised for draw wells for 14 villages and some of them have been taken up?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—There are only 7 villages which are given draw-wells in that taluk for which the development Council has taken a decision. It is for the taluk boards and village panchayets to implement. As I have already said that there are several wells unfinished and it is for them to complete them. We are prepared to give them assistance in the form of loans and grants.

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—The Hon'ble Minister was pleased to say that the Government is following a new policy with regard to the draw-wells. What is the policy followed by the Government Sir?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—In different areas, there were different rules in force. We want to bring about uniformity and prescribe a local contribution of 25 or 30 per cent like that. 50 per cent local contribution I feel is a little too heavy for villagers to bear.

Sri G. B SHANKAR RAO.—Is the Government aware that the delay in the completion of draw wells is attributed to the delay in the payment of Contractors Bills ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I do not know. Even last year, we have got 1960-61, we were not bound to give money for any draw wells. But we have given funds for the completion of half-completed wells.

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—Have the Government prepared a list of villages where two or three draw wells are necessary in a taluk?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—In the whole of Mysore State, there are 39,000 wells. There are only 29,000 villages. That shows that in some villages there are more than one well. In some villages there is only one well and in some others, there is not even a well. Our programme is to finish draw-wells in villages where there is not even one well. We hope to finish it during the Third Plan.

WATER SUPPLY TO HIREKERUR TALUK UNDER THE NATIONAL RURAL WATER SUPPLY SCHEME

*Q.—651 Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO (Hirekerur).—

Will the the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the names of villages in Hirekerur Taluk for which water supply is proposed under the National Rural Water Supply Scheme ;

(*b*) the state at which the proposal is pending in respect of each village ;

(*c*) the estimate cost of each proposal ?

A.—Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY [On behalf of Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Development and Local Self-Government)].

(*a*) (1) Rattihalli-Totganti, (2) Havasabhavi, (3) Chikknur, (4) Hirekerur, (5) Betkenur-Apinkop, (6) Masur, (7) Medur, (8) Kadur, (9)Kod, (10) Hallur, (11) Tadakanhalli, (12) Kudapalli.

(*b*) Under the changed policy of the Governmet of India, that no fresh schemes for individual villages will be considered under the National Rural Water Supply and Sanitation Programme, all fresh schemes proposed under the said programme stand dropped.

(*c*) In view of reply to claue (*b*) above, this does not arise :

Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—Supplemenatary to (*b*).—In view of the changed policy of the Government of India will the State Government contemplate to have an alternative scheme to provide water supply to these villages ?